Risk Log

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Week | Timeline | Communication | Scope |
| week 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Problems | None | None | None |
| Actions taken | None | None | None |
| week 7 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Problems | One assigned team member: Kristian Elawad not available. | Informed teacher during the 1st status meeting. Were confirmed his absence from the team. |  |
| Actions taken | Conduct a meeting to distribute work accordingly. | We had a meeting and divide the task and plan accordingly. |  |
| week 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Problems | Lifecycle issue | Team was informed by the teacher during the status meeting to not follow the mini waterfall model. |  |
| Actions taken | Conduct a meeting to discuss project plan. | Planning of project was done accordingly. Rest of the time available in the ongoing sprint has been adjusted to the revised plan. |  |
| week 9 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Problems | User story 17 is wrong prioritized  User story prioritisation issue. | The team found the user story 13 seemed more urgent, 17 relatively less urgent and was conveyed to the team. |  |
| Actions taken | Group meeting. | User stories were reprioritised accordingly. |  |
| week 10 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Problems | Few user stories required more time than estimated. | It was reported to the group via messenger. |  |
| Actions taken | Group Skype meeting. | It was decided to follow the priority list of requirements. |  |
| week 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Problems | Exams | All the group members had exams this week. |  |
| Actions taken | Group Skype meeting. | It was decided to follow the priority list of requirements. |  |
| week 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Problems | None | None | None |
| Actions taken | None | None | None |

# \*This color means high risk This means middle risk And this means low risk.

# 